

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/826,632	SATOH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dang D Le	2834

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dang D Le (3) _____

(2) Suzanne Gagnon, Reg. No. 48,924 (4) _____

Date of Interview: 06 May 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 3, 11, 15, and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tsuzaki et al. and Michalak.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner agreed that new claims 15 and 16 overcome the Final Action. In addition, if claim 11 is incorporated into claim 3, such amendment would also overcome the Final Rejection. However, further search and consideration is needed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

DANGLE
PATENTARY EXAMINER

5/6/03

Suzanne Gagnon
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.